| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 10/626,074 | INCARNATO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Matthew Heneghan | 2134 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Final Rejection, Pending</u> | | |
| (1) Matthew Heneghan. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Joseph Incarnato</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 25 January 2005 | Time: <u>9:00 AM EST</u> | • |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | olicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Claim 11 and objection to specification. | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Applicant agreed to cancel claim 11 and amend the specifical | | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| gre M | | |
| 1 | | |
| Most for | pont/Annligantia Banzasantativa S | Signature if appropriate) |
| (Examiner/SPÉ Signature) (Applic | cant/Applicant's Representative S | orginature – ir appropriate) |